

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alcassedan, Virginia 22313-1450 www.emplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/537,326	08/08/2006	Nile A. Lahr	CTCZ 2 00079	1874	
	7590 10/13/201 FFER, SCHAUB & PC	EXAMINER			
P O BOX 916 ONE SEAGATE SUITE 1980 TOLEDO, OH 43697			MINSKEY, JACOB T		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1741		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			10/12/2010	D + DED	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## **Advisory Action** Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/537,326	LAHR ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
JACOB T. MINSKEY	1741		

	JACOB T. MINSKEY	1741						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress					
THE REPLY FILED 16 September 2010 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	THE REPLY FILED 16 September 2010 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
<ol> <li>         \[             \]             The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:         </li> </ol>	the same day as filing a Notice of eplies: (1) an amendment, affidavi al (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid abar t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request					
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing			-1					
b) X The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.								
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (t MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f		FIRST REPLY WAS FI	LED WITHIN TWO					
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1,136(a). The date have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext under 37 CFR 1,17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any pely received by the Office may reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1,704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL.	ension and the corresponding amount hortened statutory period for reply origi	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as					
The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in complete.	iance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be	filed within two months	s of the date of					
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exten Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	appeal. Since a					
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>								
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b			cause					
(a) They raise new issues that would require further con		ΓE below);						
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below								
<ul><li>(c)   ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or</li></ul>	er form for appeal by materially red	ducing or simplifying ti	ne issues for					
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a c	orresponding number of finally reig	ected claims.						
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.11								
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).					
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):								
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allo non-allowable claim(s).	owable if submitted in a separate,	timely filed amendmer	nt canceling the					
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) phow the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		l be entered and an e	xplanation of					
Claim(s) objected to:								
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-3.5-10.13 and 21-30</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:								
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE								
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>								
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary</li> </ol>	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea and was not earlier presented. Se	al and/or appellant fail ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	s to provide a ).					
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.					
The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet.	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:					
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)							
13. Other:								

/Matthew J. Daniels/

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The amendments to the claim now require that the second gaps 'extend directly from, connecting with and in alignment' with the first gaps. This new set of limitations effectively eliminates the possibility for an intermediate structure to be placed between the first and second gaps. This new limitations has not been previously searched or considered..

Continuation of 11, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The new limitation (if entered) would not allow for an intermediate structure to be between the first and second apps, which the art currently used in the rejection possesses. The arguments are most in that they are all focused on the new limitations, which change the scope of the invention by limiting the existence of an intermediary.